

to place before The Commission for the Investigation of Cancer Remedies his claims for a substance or method of treatment in his possession to be used in the treatment of cancer.

Name in full ....**Rene M. Gaisse**..... Age.....Sex..F.....

Address .....**Bracebridge, Ontario**.....

1. Describe how and when the substance or method of treatment came into your possession. (accident, research, other persons, etc.)

**Research.**

2. Are you willing to submit every detail, including formula, (if any) and suitable samples of your substance or method of treatment to the Commission for investigation by such experts as the Commission may employ? **Yes, if after careful investigation of the results of Essiac treatment, the Commission finds that it has merit in the treatment of Cancer.**

3. Were tests applied to ensure that the substance or method of treatment is not harmful to human beings? **Yes.**

4. Have you used the substance or method of treatment for the treatment of cancer in animals? **Yes.**

5. How many cases of cancer in human beings have been treated using this substance or method of treatment? **See Below.**

6. How many cases were diagnosed -

(1) By a medical practitioner **All cases.**

(2) By X-ray **Data available when compiled.**

(3) By microscopic examination of tissue. **Data available when compiled.**

7. How is the substance or method of treatment administered -

(1) By injection **By inter muscular injection.**

(2) By mouth **By mouth.**

(3) By some other method. **By local application.**

*Mu*

8. How many doses are given and at what interval?

Once a week and in some cases twice a week.

9. In how many cases of cancer in human beings treated with this substance or method of treatment has there been in your opinion -

(1) Recovery with disappearance of the Cancer

In many cases. Cannot specify until data compiled.

(2) Improvement (in what way)

See below.

(3) No improvement.

No improvement in the case of melanotic sarcoma. Full details available as soon as data can be compiled.

10. Has the substance or method of treatment been used for the treatment of other diseases? Am treating cancer and ulcers. By accident have found it is beneficial in the treatment of enlarged glands.

11. Have you read the enclosed copy of the Cancer Remedy Act? Yes, with a great deal of interest.

8. I have been treating patients for fifteen years. No facilities owing to lack of financial means for keeping records until the last three years. During the last three years have treated over one thousand patients. Am compiling these records which I hope to have tabulated and available for investigation in the near future.

9. (2) All cases show improvement. There is an improvement of the general condition, a recession of pain, softening of the mass or a healing of surfaces; any hemorrhaging is stopped, and almost in every case there is a gain in weight. These results are obtained in all cases where one or other of the organs are not destroyed.

MLL




Bracebridge, Ontario,  
November 2nd, 1939.

5 Mr. Harold Kirby,  
The Honourable Minister of Health,  
Parliament Bldgs.,  
TORONTO, Ont.

Dear Mr. Kirby:-

10 I am writing to ask if there isn't some way that  
you can speed up the report from the Royal Cancer  
Commission on my cancer discovery. It seems to me that  
they have had ample time to decide for or against my  
treatment. They admitted this in my presence at the last  
meeting on July 4th. If they have decided against it  
I have other plans and am anxious that they should make  
15 their decision one way or the other.

20 The doctors have in a body refused to give any  
diagnosis of a case coming to me. I have people visiting  
my clinic begging for treatment and as you know I cannot  
take them without their Doctor's diagnosis. Would it be  
possible for you to give me permission to treat any  
patient who came to me stating that his or her doctor  
told them that they had cancer, and to have them sign a  
statement to that effect? I would appreciate your  
25 opinion on this matter. Thanking you in anticipation of  
an early reply, I remain,

Respectfully yours, 

rnc-ac.

(sgd) Rene M. Caisse.



The Commission For The Investigation of Cancer Remedies

Honourable Mr. Justice Gillanders - chairman

R.C.Wallace M.A., D.Sc., Ph.D., LL.D, F.G.S., F.R.S.C. Kingston

R.E.Valin M.D., D.M., F.R.C.S., Ottawa

E.A.Collins B.S.C., Copper Cliff

W.J.Deadman B.A., M.B., Hamilton

T.H.Callahan M.B. Toronto

George S. Young MB., F.R.C.P. Toronto

Miss Renee Caisse,

Toronto, Ontario, Dec. 17th, 1939.

Bracbridge, Ontario.

Dear Madam:

Section 8 of the Cancer Remedy Act, 1938, reads as follows:

"The Commission shall make a report of any determination or finding relating to any substance or method of treatment to:

a) The Minister

and

b) The person who has submitted such substance or method to the Commission for investigation

and the Minister may publish such a report in such manner as he may deem proper."

In accordance with the above, I take pleasure in enclosing herewith a copy of the Interim Report of this Commission insofar as it applies to the remedy sponsored by yourself.

signed Fred T. Egner

*Secretary  
Parliament Buildings  
Toronto*

188/74  
1939/12/17  
RECEIVED  
FRED T. EGNER  
SECRETARY  
PARLIAMENTS BUILDINGS  
TORONTO  
DEC 17 1939



1938<sup>3</sup>

①  
lost by three  
notes

I gather from the interim report that to date (above) in no case had the Commission, on evidence presented to it - (enough info to fill 3192 pages) - been able to make a determination or finding that any substance or method of treatment investigated had been proved to be a cure or remedy for cancer.

I also gather that evidence was taken in private - the Commission feeling that "the interest both of <sup>honest</sup> sponsors and the public at large would be better served and protected thereby.

Page 1

In making this particular interim report the Commission did not attempt any detailed review of the extensive evidence on the behalf of the ~~various~~ sponsors of the various ~~treatments~~ <sup>drugs</sup> involved substances and methods of treatment reviewed because evidence and exhibits are filed as a matter of record and available if desired.

P2

In the clinical investigation, considerable time may elapse due to the fact that the desired number of patients are apparently not readily available (where results can be observed uncomplicated by other methods of treatment) Did you find this to be true?

P4

The interim report, on page 4, reveals considerable doubt about the use of the 'treatment under investigation' feeling that surgery, X-ray and/or radium might just as well be responsible for any therapeutic value as the treatment used - that is, in a considerable number of case histories. Were there some of your 'case histories' who improved after your 'remedy' who had received no surgery - no X-rays - no radium - to strengthen your appeal?

49/387  
Royal York  
Banquet room  
rented for  
this purpose



MU

08/21/2019